

Chapter 6

Counting, Probability, and Inference

Chapter Review (pp. 432–435)

- There are 21 possible outcomes where $d \geq 0$ and 15 possible outcomes where $d < 0$, so $P(d < 0) = \frac{15}{36} = \frac{5}{12}$.
- Since all of the numbers on the die are integers, all of the outcomes are integers. Therefore, $P(d \text{ is an integer}) = 1$.
- There are 6 possible outcomes for rolling doubles, so $P(\text{doubles}) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$.
- A sum of 2 is prime, and the odd numbers 3–11 are prime other than 9. Since there are 4 possible outcomes with a sum of 9, $P(\text{sum is prime}) = \frac{19}{36} - \frac{4}{36} = \frac{15}{36} = \frac{5}{12}$.
- $P(\text{HHH}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 = \frac{1}{8}$
- $\{\text{HHT, HTH, THH}\}; P(2 \text{ heads}) = \frac{3}{2^3} = \frac{3}{8}$
- $P(\text{at least 1 tail}) = 1 - P(\text{HHH}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^3} = 1 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{7}{8}$
- $np = 1200 \cdot 0.013 \approx 16$
- $np = 230 \cdot \frac{1}{6} = 38.\bar{3}$
- $np = 532 \cdot 0.047 \approx 25$
- $8! = 40,320$
- ${}_7P_3 = 210$
- ${}_7P_5 = 2520$
- ${}_3P_1 \cdot {}_5P_1 \cdot {}_2P_1 = 30$
- There are 2 possible outcomes for every toss, so for 8 tosses, $2^8 = 256$.
- $6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 720$
- $\frac{9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2} = 21$
- $\frac{100 \cdot 99 \cdot 98}{50 \cdot 49 \cdot 11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9} = \frac{2}{5}$
- $\frac{54 \cdot 53 \cdot 52 \cdot 51 \cdot 50!}{50!} = 54 \cdot 53 \cdot 52 \cdot 51$
- $\frac{(a+2)(a+1)!}{(a+1)!} = a+2$
- $\frac{12!}{(12-3)!} = \frac{12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9!}{9!} = 12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10 = 1320$
- $\frac{7!}{(7-5)!} = \frac{7!}{2} = 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 = 2520$
- $\frac{n!}{(n-1)!} = \frac{n(n-1)!}{(n-1)!} = n$
- $P(B|A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)} = \frac{0.05}{0.6} = 0.08\bar{3}$
- If the events are complementary, then they are mutually exclusive and $P(A \cap B) = 0$.
- If A and B were mutually exclusive, then $P(A) + P(B) = P(A \cup B) \leq 1$, but here $P(A) + P(B) = 1.3$, so A and B cannot be mutually exclusive.
- $P(\text{not } (A \text{ or } B)) = 1 - 0.25 - 0.3 = 0.45$
- The possible outcomes are: $\{1, 4, 8, 9, 16, 25, 27, 36, 49, 64, 81, 100\}$, so $P(\text{perfect square or perfect cube}) = \frac{12}{100} = 0.12$.
- $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$, so the events are independent.
- The events are mutually exclusive, so they are dependent.
- $P(A) = \frac{1}{6}$, $P(B) = \frac{6}{36}$, and $P(A \cap B) = \frac{1}{36}$; $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$, so the events are independent.
- Selections with replacement are independent events because each selection is not affected by what has been selected previously, so the events are independent.
 - Because the first selected sock was not replaced, the selection of the second sock is dependent on the selection of the first. So the events are dependent.
- The announcer is incorrectly applying the “law of averages,” assuming that the observed count should approach the expected count.
- The relative frequency of 2 is $\frac{1699}{17,280}$. Over the long run, the Law of Large Numbers states that the relative frequency of 2 will approach $\frac{1}{10}$.

35. expected winnings:
 $(0)(0.999) + (700)(0.001) = \0.70 ;
 Someone who plays every day has expected winnings of $(\$0.70)(365) = \255.5 in one year, but spends \$365 during the year. In the long run, the winnings will approach \$0.70 per lottery ticket and therefore yield a profit of \$0.30 per lottery ticket for the state.
36. a. $\{T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4, T_5, T_6, H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6\}$
 b. $H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, T_4, T_6$
 c. H_1, H_2, T_1, T_2 ; so, 4 outcomes
37. Answers vary. Sample: The integers from 0 to 359, since the sum of the central angles of a circle is 360 degrees.
38. The entire set of TV programs available at that time.
39. All 366 days of the year (do not forget February 29th).
40. maple: $\frac{16}{102} \approx 0.157$, so about 15.7%, oak: $\frac{8}{68} \approx 0.118$, so about 11.8%, ash: $\frac{17}{85} = 0.2$, so 20%; Oak seems to be the sturdiest species.
41. $\frac{68}{102 + 68 + 85} \approx 0.267$, so about 26.7%;
 $\frac{60}{86 + 60 + 68} \approx 0.280$, so about 28.0%
42. $\frac{16 + 8 + 17}{102 + 68 + 85} \approx 0.161$, so about 16.1%
43. $\frac{15}{1024} \approx 0.015$
44. $(0.7)^4 = 0.2401$
45. $0.08 \cdot 0.120 = 0.0096$, so 0.96%
46. a.
 b. $0.85 \cdot 0.05 + 0.15 \cdot 0.40 = 0.1025$, so 10.25% of the time
 c. $\frac{0.85 \cdot 0.95}{0.85 \cdot 0.95 + 0.15 \cdot 0.60} \approx 0.900$, so about 90.0%
 d. $\frac{0.15 \cdot 0.40}{0.85 \cdot 0.05 + 0.15 \cdot 0.40} \approx 0.585$, so about 58.5%

47. a. $\frac{673}{122 + 1617 + 528 + 673} \approx 0.229$, so about 22.9%
 b. $\frac{212}{212 + 673} \approx 0.240$, so about 24%
48. $\frac{1}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{7} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{3024}$
49. $3 \cdot 2 \cdot 6 = 36$
50. a. $8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 = 6720$
 b. $5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 120$
 c. $6720 \cdot 120 = 806,400$
51. $2 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 1440$
52. Answers vary. Sample: Label the first 6 columns of a spreadsheet with the values of the coins. In the next row, use the spreadsheet random number function to generate a 1 (heads) or 0 (tails) in each cell. For each trial, a 7th column can total the product of each cell's coin value and random number. These cells can be copied down for $n = 100$ trials and the frequency of successful outcomes can be counted.
53. Answers vary. Sample: The experiment in Question 52 can be modified by changing the value in one of the penny columns to 5 and running another 100 trials.
54. Answers vary. Sample: Generate sets of 7 random numbers from 1–10 where 1 represents a rainy day. The number of 1's in a set of 7 numbers is the number of rainy days in a week. Do 100 trials to see the expected number of rainy days.
55. a. in the same order: 375, 1125, 1125, 3375
 b. The number of degrees of freedom is 3.

c.

$\frac{(315-375)^2}{375} + \frac{(1202-1125)^2}{1125} + \frac{(1146-1125)^2}{1125}$	15.6901
$\chi^2 \text{Cdf}(15.69, \infty, 3)$	0.001313

The probability of a chi-square value as high as 15.69 or greater is 0.0013. Because $0.0013 < 0.01$, we can reject the geneticist's claim.

56. The probability of a chi-square value as high as 16.31 or greater is 0.0026. Because $0.0026 > 0.001$ we do not have sufficient evidence to reject the claim of the hypothesized percentages.

```
χ²GOF { 1476,6017,8282,4563,9518 }, { }
  "Title"    "χ² GOF"
  "χ²"       16.3109
  "PVal"     0.002629
  "df"       4.
  "CompList" "{...}"
```

57.

Hypothesized Probabilities

A	B	C	D	E
<input type="text" value=".25"/>	<input type="text" value=".625"/>	<input type="text" value=".125"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>

Observed Frequencies

A	B	C	D	E
<input type="text" value="191"/>	<input type="text" value="481"/>	<input type="text" value="118"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>

Expected Frequencies

A	B	C	D	E
<input type="text" value="197.5"/>	<input type="text" value="493.75"/>	<input type="text" value="98.75"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>

Chi: 4.296

Percent of Distribution Greater than Chi-Square Value: 11.8%

The chi-square simulation web applet gives a chi-square value of 4.296 and a probability of 11.8%. Because $0.118 > 0.05$, we have insufficient evidence to reject the claim of the hypothesized ratios of morning rush hour traffic.

58. a. $0.37 \cdot 0.43 + 0.29 \cdot 0.57 = 0.3244$, so 32.44%
- b. $0.31 \cdot 0.43 + 0.37 \cdot 0.57 = 0.3442$, so 34.42%
- c. Democrats: $0.3244 + 0.5 \cdot 0.34 \cdot 0.57 = 0.4213$;
 Republicans: $0.3442 + 0.5 \cdot 0.34 \cdot 0.57 = 0.4411$;
 If there is a tie, then the Democrats get 50% of the vote, $(0.32 \cdot 0.43)x + 0.4213 = 0.5$. Solving for x shows that about 57.19% of the 18–35 Independents must vote Democrat for there to be a tie.

59. a. $\frac{42}{335} \approx 0.125$, so about 12.5%;
 $\frac{27}{206} \approx 0.131$, so about 13.1%
- b. $\frac{21}{76} \approx 0.276$, so about 27.6%;
 $\frac{80}{277} \approx 0.289$, so about 28.9%

- c. No, whether a student studies more or less than three hours, the relative frequency of a score increase of less than 25 points appears to be the same. The same is true for students whose scores increased more than 25 points.

d.

	< 25	> 25	Total
Less Study	107	376	483
More Study	63	348	411
Total	170	724	894

Score increase of < 25 points with less study:

$$\frac{107}{483} \approx 22.2\%$$

Score increase of < 25 points with more study:

$$\frac{63}{411} \approx 15.3\%$$

- e. These data exemplify Simpson's Paradox, since there appears to be a correlation between amount of study and performance when you combine the school districts, but not when you examine them separately.